EXHIBIT JJ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

)	
IN RE: PROCESSED EGG PRODUCTS)	
ANTITRUST LITIGATION:)	
)	
THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES)	Civil Action No. 08-md-02002
TO ALL ACTIONS		

DECLARATION

- I, David Fikes, hereby declare and state as follows:
- I am employed by the Food Marketing Institute as Vice President,
 Consumer/Community Affairs and Communications. The following is based on my personal knowledge and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. This Declaration is made in response to a request for information supplementing the deposition of Dr. Jill Hollingsworth pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6).
- 3. The attached copy of the May 2013 Evaluation of Animal Welfare Guidelines performed by the Food Marketing Institute's Animal Welfare Advisory Committee is a true and correct copy of said document and said document is maintained electronically by FMI in the normal course of business and is available on FMI's website.
- 4. The May 2013 report accurately reflects the conclusions of the Food Marketing Institute's Animal Welfare Advisory Committee.

- 5. The Committee reviewed the most current versions at that time of all the commodity group animal welfare guidelines. The United Egg Producers provided the United Egg Producers Animal Husbandry Guidelines 2010 Edition for review. The purpose of the review was to bring the Committee's review up to date and to provide retailers with more information about the components included in the commodity group guidelines.
- 6. The Committee evaluated all the commodity group animal welfare guidelines to see if they addressed the specific elements deemed essential to successful implementation of an animal welfare program. It then created the grid in the attached report demonstrating the elements present or not present in each commodity's recommended guidelines, including the United Egg Producers guidelines, so that FMI members would have the necessary information to evaluate each guideline.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this day of June, 2014 in Arlington, Virginia.

Derold Devil Fiker

Food Marketing Institute

Evaluation of Animal Welfare Guidelines

associations was performed by the Food Marketing Institute's Animal Welfare Advisory Committee. This committee is comprised of animal scientists and veterinarians from the fields of academia and veterinary medicine. The following evaluation of the animal welfare guidelines established by various meat and poultry commodity

Key:

Checkmark (x): element is present in the commodity's recommended guidelines **Box left blank:** element is not found in the commodity's recommended guidelines **N/A:** element is "not applicable" to the particular commodity

5/13/2013

Behavioral management³ on veterinary guidance considerations² Environmental based input Health program based Husbandry procedures Handling methods Scheduled review and Guidelines reflect broad making process transparent decision -Guidelines reflect revision mechanism Practice × \times \times × × × Meat Institute American × × × × Beef × Milk & Dairy × The \times \times × × \times Board Pork Guideline development process Council × × × × \times \times Chicken National **Guideline elements** × Beef \times × × National \times Association Cattlemen's Commodity Group × × × × \times × Turkey National Federation Sheep × × \times \times \times × Association Industry American Veal \times × \times American Association Producers \times × × × \times × Egg United

Food Marketing Institute Animal Welfare Guideline Evaluation

May 2013

			1		r		1		.gc o o	i o i ago				
Special agricultural practices ⁷	Record keeping requirements ⁶	Biosecurity of premises ³	Documentation and assessment of training	Elements of training Programs	Inspection procedures and rate of inspection	Euthanasia	Slaughter procedures	Transportation procedures	Emergency preparedness ⁴	Facility/equipment maintenance & design				
×	×		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		Institute	Meat	American
×	×		×	×	×	×	N/A	×	×	×			Beef	Milk & Dairy
	×	×	×	×	×	×		×	×	×		Board	Pork	The
N/A	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		Council	Chicken	National
	×	×	×	×	×	×		×	×	×	Association	Beef	Cattlemen's	National
×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		×		Federation	Turkey	National
	×		×	×		×	N/A	×			Association	Industry	Sheep	American
		×						×	×			Association	Veal	American
×	×	×		×	×	×	N/A	N/A		×		Producers	Egg	United

Food Marketing Institute Animal Welfare Guideline Evaluation

May 2013

self-assessment (with mechanism Audit or self-evaluation or design criteria) performance outcomes Auditable guidelines or Meat Institute American Beef Milk & Dairy The Board × Pork **Guideline implementation** Council Chicken × National Beef Association Cattlemen's National × Turkey National Federation Sheep Association Industry American Veal **American** Association Producers United × × Egg

Food Marketing Institute Animal Welfare Guideline Evaluation

May 2013

Food Marketing Institute Animal Welfare Guideline Evaluation

Footnotes

¹Food water, space allocation, bedding condition, etc., as applicable

²Ventilation, air quality, temperature

³Includes identifying and minimizing behavioral problems and/or opportunities to minimize

⁴Power outages, weather emergencies, fire

⁵Standards established to control/mitigate potential of diseases brought onto farm

⁶Includes minimum required data to assure compliance with the guidelines

 7 Management procedures that could cause pain or distress (ex: castration, dehorning, beak-trimming, molting)